

February 7, 2008

TIME EVOLUTION OF A PASSIVE SCALAR IN A TURBULENT INCOMPRESSIBLE GAUSSIAN VELOCITY FIELD

S. V. LOTOTSKY AND B. L. ROZOVSKII

ABSTRACT. Passive scalar equation is considered in a turbulent homogeneous incompressible Gaussian velocity field. The turbulent nature of the field results in non-smooth coefficients in the equation. A strong, in the stochastic sense, solution of the equation is constructed using the Wiener Chaos, and the properties of the solution are studied. The results apply to both viscous and conservative motions.

1. PASSIVE SCALAR IN A GAUSSIAN FIELD

We consider the following transport equation to describe the evolution of a passive scalar θ in a random velocity field $\mathbf{v}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \dot{\theta}(t, x) = 0.5\nu\Delta\theta(t, x) - \mathbf{v}(t, x) \cdot \nabla\theta(t, x) + f(t, x); \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d > 1.$$

Our interest in this equation is motivated by the on-going progress in the study of the turbulent transport problem (E and Vanden Eijnden [3], Gawędzki and Kupiainen [4], Gawędzki and Vergasola [5], Kraichnan [7], etc.)

We assume in (1.1) that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, is an isotropic Gaussian vector field with zero mean and covariance

$$E(v^i(t, x)v^j(s, y)) = \delta(t - s)C^{ij}(x - y)$$

with some matrix-valued function $C = (C^{ij}(x), i, j = 1, \dots, d)$. It is well-known (see, for example, LeJan [8]) that in the physically interesting models the matrix-valued function $C = C(x)$ has the Fourier transform $\hat{C} = \hat{C}(z)$ given by

$$\hat{C}(z) = \frac{A_0}{(1 + |z|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2}} \left(a \frac{zz^*}{|z|^2} + \frac{b}{d-1} \left(I - \frac{zz^T}{|z|^2} \right) \right),$$

where z^* is the row vector $(z_1, \dots, z_d)$, z is the corresponding column vector, $|z|^2 = z^*z$, I is the identity matrix; $\alpha > 0, a \geq 0, b \geq 0, A_0 > 0$ are real numbers. Similar to [8], we assume that $0 < \alpha < 2$.

By direct computation (cf. [1]), the vector field $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, \dots, v^d)$ can be written as

$$(1.2) \quad v^i(t, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sigma_k^i(x) \dot{w}_k(t),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60H15; Secondary 35R60, 60H40.

Key words and phrases. Degenerate Equations.

The work was partially supported by the Sloan Research Fellowship and by the ARO Grant DAAD19-02-1-0374.

The work was partially supported by the ARO Grant DAAD19-02-1-0374.

where $\dot{w}_k(t)$, $k \geq 1$, are independent standard Gaussian white noises and $\{\sigma_k, k \geq 1\}$ is a CONS in the space H_C , the reproducing kernel Hilbert space corresponding to the kernel function C . The space H_C is all or part of the Sobolev space $H^{(d+\alpha)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows from (1.2) that $\sum_k \sigma_k^i(x) \sigma_k^j(y) = C^{ij}(x - y)$ for all x, y ; in particular, $\sigma_k^i(x) \sigma_k^j(x) = C^{ij}(0)$ for all x .

If $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, then the matrix $\hat{C}$ is invertible and

$$H_C = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^d : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}^*(z) \hat{C}^{-1}(z) \hat{f}(z) dz < \infty\} = H^{(d+\alpha)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d),$$

because $\|\hat{C}(z)\| \sim (1 + |z|^2)^{-(d+\alpha)/2}$.

If $a > 0$ and $b = 0$, then

$$H_C = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}^d : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(z)|^2 (1 + |z|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2} dz < \infty; z z^* \hat{f}(z) = |z|^2 \hat{f}(z) \right\},$$

the subset of gradient fields in $H^{(d+\alpha)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ (those are vector fields f for which $\hat{f}(z) = z \hat{F}(z)$ for some scalar $F \in H^{(d+\alpha+1)/2}$).

If $a = 0$ and $b > 0$, then

$$H_C = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}^d : \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(z)|^2 (1 + |z|^2)^{(d+\alpha)/2} dz < \infty; z^* \hat{f}(z) = 0 \right\},$$

the subset of divergence free fields in $H^{(d+\alpha)/2}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$.

By the embedding theorems, each σ_k^i is a bounded continuous function on $\mathbb{R}^d$; in fact, every σ_k^i is Hölder continuous of order $\alpha/2$. In addition, being an element of the corresponding space H_C , each σ_k is a gradient field if $b = 0$ and is divergence free if $a = 0$.

To simplify the further presentation and to make the model (1.1) more physically relevant, we consider the divergence-free velocity field and assume that the stochastic integration is in the sense of Stratonovich. Under these assumptions, equation (1.1) becomes

$$(1.3) \quad d\theta(t, x) = 0.5\nu \Delta \theta(t, x) dt - \sum_k \sigma_k(x) \cdot \nabla \theta(t, x) \circ dw_k(t).$$

With divergence-free functions σ_k , the equivalent Ito formulation is

$$(1.4) \quad d\theta(t, x) = 0.5(\nu \Delta \theta(t, x) + C^{ij}(0) D_i D_j \theta(t, x)) dt - \sigma_k^i(x) D_i \theta(t, x) dw_k(t).$$

In what follows, we construct a solution of (1.4) using Wiener Chaos.

2. A REVIEW OF THE WIENER CHAOS

Let $\mathbb{F} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a stochastic basis with the usual assumptions. On $\mathbb{F}$ consider a collection $(w_k(t), k \geq 1, t \geq 0)$ of independent standard Wiener processes. For a fixed $0 < T < \infty$, let $\mathcal{F}_T^W$ be the sigma-algebra generated by $w_k(t)$, $k \geq 1$, $0 < t < T$, and $L_2(\mathcal{F}_T^W)$ the collection of $\mathcal{F}_T^W$ -measurable square integrable random variables.

For the Fourier cosine basis $\{m_k, k \geq 1, \}$ in $L_2((0, T))$ with

$$(2.1) \quad m_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad m_k(t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{T}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi(k-1)t}{T}\right), \quad k \geq 2,$$

define the independent standard Gaussian random variables

$$\xi_{ik} = \int_0^T m_i(s) dw_k(s).$$

Consider the collection of multi-indices

$$\mathcal{J} = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_i^k, i, k \geq 1), \alpha_i^k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \sum_{i,k} \alpha_i^k < \infty \right\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{J}$ is countable, and, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, only finitely many of α_i^k are not equal to zero. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$, define

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i,k} \alpha_i^k, \quad \alpha! = \prod_{i,k} \alpha_i^k!,$$

and

$$\xi_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha!}} \prod_{i,k} H_{\alpha_i^k}(\xi_{ik}),$$

where

$$H_n(t) = e^{t^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} e^{-t^2/2}$$

is n -th Hermite polynomial. In particular, if $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ is such that $\alpha_i^k = 1$ if $i = j$ and $k = l$, and $\alpha_i^k = 0$ otherwise, then $\xi_\alpha = \xi_{jl}$.

Definition 2.1. *The space $L_2(\mathcal{F}_T^W)$ is called the Wiener Chaos space. The N -th Wiener Chaos is the linear subspace of $L_2(\mathcal{F}_T^W)$, generated by ξ_α , $|\alpha| = N$.*

The following is a classical results of Cameron and Martin [2].

Theorem 2.1. *The collection $\{\xi_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is an orthonormal basis in the space $L_2(\mathcal{F}_T^W)$.*

In addition to the original source [2], the proof of this theorem can be found in many other places, for example, in [6]. By Theorem 2.1 every element v of $L_2(\mathcal{F}_T^W)$ can be written as

$$v = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} v_\alpha \xi_\alpha,$$

where $v_\alpha = \mathbb{E}(v \xi_\alpha)$.

3. THE WIENER CHAOS SOLUTION OF THE PASSIVE SCALAR EQUATION

Using the summation convention, define the operators $\mathcal{A} = 0.5(\nu\Delta + C^{ij}(0)D_iD_j)$ and $\mathcal{M}_k = \sigma_k^i D_i$. Assume that $\theta_0 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and define $\theta_\alpha(t, x)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad \theta_\alpha(t) = \theta_0 I(|\alpha| = 0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{A}\theta_\alpha(s, x)ds + \int_0^t \sum_{i,k} \sqrt{\alpha_i^k} \mathcal{M}_k \theta_{\alpha-(i,k)}(s) m_i(s) ds.$$

Notice that for every function $f \in H_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathcal{M}_k f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = (\sigma_k^j \sigma_k^i D_i f, D_j f) = (C^{ij}(0) D_i f, D_j f),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since the matrix $(C^{ij}(0), i, j = 1, \dots, d)$ is positive definite, we conclude that there exist positive numbers c_1, c_2 so that, for every function $f \in H_2^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$(3.2) \quad c_1 \|\nabla f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathcal{M}_k f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 \leq c_2 \|\nabla f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

Theorem 3.2. (1) For every $\nu \geq 0$ and every $t \in [0, T]$, the series

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}} \theta_\alpha(t, x) \xi_\alpha$$

converges in $L_2(\Omega; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ to a process $\theta = \theta(t, x)$.

(2) If $\nu > 0$, then, for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the process $\theta(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\theta, \varphi)(t) &= (\theta_0, \varphi) - 0.5\nu \int_0^t (\nabla \theta, \nabla \varphi)(s) ds - 0.5 \int_0^t C^{ij}(0) (D_i \theta, D_j \varphi)(s) ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^t (\sigma_k^i D_i \theta, \varphi) dw_k(s) \end{aligned}$$

with probability one for all $t \in [0, T]$ at once, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the inner product in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Also,

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \|\theta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(t) + \nu \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(s) ds = \|\theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

(3) If $\nu = 0$, then, for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the process $\theta(t, x)$ satisfies

$$(3.6) \quad (\theta, \varphi)(t) = (\theta_0, \varphi) + 0.5 \int_0^t C^{ij}(0) (\theta, D_i D_j \varphi)(s) ds + \int_0^t (\theta, \sigma_k^i D_i \varphi) dw_k(s)$$

with probability one for all $t \in [0, T]$ at once. Also,

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \|\theta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(t) \leq \|\theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2.$$

Remark 3.3. Equalities (3.4) and (3.6) mean that $\theta = \theta(t, x)$ is the solution of the transport equation in the traditional sense of theory of stochastic partial differential equations, that is, it is a strong solution in the stochastic sense, satisfying the corresponding equation in the generalized function sense. The solution is also unique in the class of $L_2((0, T) \times \Omega; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ random functions, because any other solution will automatically have the same Wiener Chaos expansion. The uniqueness can, in fact, be established in a much wider class of generalized random functions.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.4. *The system of equations (3.1) has a unique solution so that every θ_α is a smooth bounded function of x for $t > 0$ and, if T_t , $t \geq 0$, is the heat semigroup generated by the operator $0.5(\nu\Delta + C^{ij}(0)D_iD_j)$, then, for every $N \geq 0$,*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=N} |\theta_\alpha(t, x)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_N} \dots \int_0^{s_2} |T_{t-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0(x)|^2 ds_1 \dots ds_N. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=N} |\nabla \theta_\alpha(t, x)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_N} \dots \int_0^{s_2} |\nabla T_{t-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0(x)|^2 ds_1 \dots ds_N. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Proposition A.1 in [9]. □

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $\nu \geq 0$. Define $\theta_N(t, x) = \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \theta_\alpha(t, x) \xi_\alpha$. Then, for all $t \in [0, T]$,*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|\theta_N\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(t) &= \|\theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 - \nu \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(s) ds \\ &- \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_2} \|\mathcal{M}_{k_{N+1}} T_{s-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 ds^N ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, after integration with respect to x ,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\theta_\alpha\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(t) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_N} \dots \int_0^{s_2} \|T_{t-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 ds_1 \dots ds_N. \end{aligned}$$

If $F_N(t) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\theta_\alpha(t)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2$, then

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} F_N(t) \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{N-1}} \dots \int_0^{s_2} \|\mathcal{M}_{k_N} T_{t-s_{N-1}} \mathcal{M}_{k_{N-1}} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} u_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2 ds^{N-1} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_N} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_2} (\mathcal{A} T_{t-s_N} \mathcal{M} \dots T_{s_1} u_0, T_{t-s_N} \mathcal{M} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} T_{s_1} u_0) ds^N. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to notice that, for every smooth function $f = f(x)$,

$$2(\mathcal{A}f, f) = -\nu \|\nabla f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \sum_{k \geq 1} \|\mathcal{M}_k f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Equality (3.10) now follows. $\square$

Notice that (3.10) implies both the $L_2(\Omega; L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ convergence of the series

$$\sum_{\alpha} \theta_{\alpha}(t, x) \xi_{\alpha}$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$ and inequality (3.7).

Lemma 3.6. *If $\nu > 0$, then, for every $t \in [0, T]$,*
(3.13)

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_2} \|\mathcal{M}_{k_{N+1}} T_{s-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 ds^N ds = 0.$$

Proof. Define

$$(3.14) \quad F_N(t) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}} \int_0^t \dots \int_0^{s_2} \|\mathcal{M}_{k_{N+1}} T_{s-s_N} \mathcal{M}_{k_N} \dots T_{s_2-s_1} \mathcal{M}_{k_1} T_{s_1} \theta_0\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 ds^N ds.$$

By (3.2) and Lemma 3.4, $F_N(t) \leq c_2 \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \int_0^t \|\nabla \theta_{\alpha}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2(s) ds$. Lemma 3.5 then implies that the series $\sum_{N \geq 0} F_N(t)$ converges for all $t \in [0, T]$. Therefore, $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_N(t) = 0$ and the statement of the lemma follows. $\square$

Since (3.10) and (3.13) imply (3.5), to complete the proof of the theorem it remains to establish (3.4) and (3.6). The necessary arguments are similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [10]

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Baxendale and T. E. Harris, *Isotropic Stochastic Flows*, Ann. Probab. **14** (1986), no. 4, 1155–1179.
- [2] R. H. Cameron and W. T. Martin, *The Orthogonal Development of Nonlinear Functionals in a Series of Fourier-Hermite Functions*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), no. 2, 385–392.
- [3] W. E and E. Vanden Eijden, *Generalized Flows, Intrinsic Stochasticity, and Turbulent Transport*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **97** (2000), no. 15, 8200–8205.
- [4] K. Gawędzki and A. Kupiainen, *Universality in Turbulence: An Exactly Solvable Model*, Low-Dimensional Models in Statistical Physics and Quantum Field Theory (H. Grosse and L. Pittner, eds.), Springer, Berlin, 1996, pp. 71–105.
- [5] K. Gawędzki and M. Vergassola, *Phase Transition in the Passive Scalar Advection*, Physica D **138** (2000), 63–90.
- [6] T. Hida, H.-H. Kuo, J. Potthoff, and L. Sreit, *White Noise*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1993.
- [7] R. H. Kraichnan, *Small-Scale Structure of a Scalar Field Convected by Turbulence*, Phys. Fluids **11** (1968), 945–963.

- [8] Y. LeJan and O. Raimond, *Integration of Brownian Vector Fields*, Ann. Probab. **30** (2002), no. 2, 826–873.
- [9] S. Lototsky, R. Mikulevicius, and B. L. Rozovskii, *Nonlinear Filtering Revisited: A Spectral Approach*, SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization **35** (1997), no. 2, 435–461.
- [10] R. Mikulevicius and B. L. Rozovskii, *Global L_2 -solutions of Stochastic Navier-Stokes Equations*, (2003), To appear.

Current address, S. V. Lototsky: Department of Mathematics, USC, Los Angeles, CA 90089

E-mail address, S. V. Lototsky: lototsky@math.usc.edu

URL: <http://math.usc.edu/~lototsky>

Current address, B. L. Rozovskii: Department of Mathematics, USC, Los Angeles, CA 90089

E-mail address, B. L. Rozovskii: rozovski@math.usc.edu

URL: <http://www.usc.edu/dept/LAS/CAMS/usr/facmemb/boris/main.htm>